

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO.581 OF 2016

JAI BAJRANG BAHUDDDESHIYA SARWANGIN GRAMIN VIKAS MANDAL, KANZARA, THR. ITS SECRETARY
MURLIDHAR BABANRAO INGOLE

-vs-

THE STATE OF MAHA., THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECT. WOMEN AND CHILD DEVP. DEPT., MAHARASHTRA
STATE, MANTRALAYA, MUMBAI-32 AND OTHERS

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.651 OF 2016

MUNGASAJI MAULI BAHU-UDDESHIYA SHIKSHAN PRASARAK SANSTHA, YAVATMAL, THR. SECRETARY SUDESH
M. RATHOD

-vs-

THE STATE OF MAHA., THRO. ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY WOMEN AND CHILD DEVP. DEPT., MANTRALAYA,
MUMBAI-32 AND OTHERS

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.807 OF 2016

ASHADEVI SAMAJSEVA TRUST, AURANGABAD THR PRESIDENT SMT. DWARKA D/O. SHANKAR MUNDHE,

-vs-

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THR PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, GOVT. OF MAHARASHTRA IN WOMEN CHILD
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, MANTRALAYA, MUMBAI-32 AND OTHERS

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Mr.A.I. Sheikh, counsel for the petitioners (WP No.581 & 651/2016)
Mr.Tushar Darda, counsel for petitioner (WP No.807/2016)
Mrs.Rashi Deshpande, AGP for the respondents/State.

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK &
V. M. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : MAY 2, 2016.

Since the issue involved in the writ petitions is identical, they are heard together and are decided by this common order.

By these writ petitions, the petitioners seek a direction to the respondents to forthwith release the grant-in-aid due and payable to the petitioners for running the Balgruhas in district Washim.

Mrs. Deshpande, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondents, states

that the amount that is due and payable to the petitioners, till April, 2015, would be paid to the petitioners, within a short time. It is stated that after 2015, there is change in policy and appropriate steps would be initiated to consider the question of releasing the grant-in-aid for the period from 2015-16 as per the change in policy. It is stated that due to paucity of funds, the grant-in-aid that was liable to be paid, till April 2015, could not be released.

In the circumstances of the case, since the payment of the grant-in-aid to the petitioners is not disputed by the respondents, till April, 2015, we dispose of the writ petitions by directing the respondents to release the grant-in-aid, till 2015 to the petitioners, within a period of ten weeks.

Order accordingly. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

!! BRW !!