

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO.63 OF 2016

Manish Rameshrao Sontakke

-vs-

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Nagpur

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Shri R. G. Bagul, counsel for petitioner (appointed)
Shri S. Ahirkar, APP for respondent/State.

**CORAM : SHRI B. R. GAVAI &
A.S.CHANDURKAR, JJ.**

DATE : MARCH 14, 2016

It is the grievance of the petitioner that the petitioner was not produced before the Sessions Court.

In the reply filed on behalf of the State, it is stated that since the offence committed by the petitioner can be tried by the Sessions Court, the petitioner was produced before the Sessions Court through video conferencing.

In that view of the matter, we do not find that the grievance needs any consideration. Personal production of the petitioner would be necessary only at the stage of effective conducting of the trial.

The fees of learned counsel for the petitioner (appointed) is quantified at Rs.1500/-.

JUDGE

JUDGE