

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

Writ Petition No.4662/2016
(Prakashnath Jokhan Gaud .vs. Union of India through General Manager
and others.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. V.R. Thote, Advocate for Petitioner

CORAM : B.R. Gavai & V.M. Deshpande, JJ.
DATED : August 24, 2016.

1. The petitioner has approached the learned Central Administrative Tribunal through original application contending therein that he was discriminated in the matter of seniority and promotion as against the respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

2. The learned Tribunal has considered the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in paragraph no.4 of the impugned order. It has been found that when the petitioner was initially appointed as Casual Labour, respondent no.4 was already appointed in the cadre of TRS Khalasi. It has been further found that the petitioner was appointed as TRS Khalasi on regular basis from 4.7.1997. Insofar as the respondent no.3 is concerned, it was found that respondent no.3 was appointed as a Casual Labour Khalasi in the year 1993. It was found that he being an ITI qualified MRCL, he was screened along with similarly placed persons and he was found suitable and posted as TRS Khalasi on 21.10.1995 and thereafter he got his promotion as Fitter Grade III/Grade II in his turn. The learned Tribunal,

therefore, found that the contention of the petitioner that he was discriminated in the matter of seniority promotion as against respondent nos. 3 and 4 was devoid of substance.

3. No error is found in the impugned order. Writ petition is rejected.

JUDGE

JUDGE

halwai

Certificate

I certify that this order uploaded is a true and correct copy of original signed order.

Uploaded by : R.G. Halwai.

Uploaded on: 25.8.2016