

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

M.C.A. NO.64/2016 IN LPA NO.101/2000 (D) W.P. NO. 2464/2000 (D)
(VANDANA MAHADEORAO WARARKAR VERSUS THE P.O. ADDITIONAL SCHOOL
TRIBUNAL, CHANDRAPUR & OTHERS)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri P.N. Shende, counsel for the applicant.
Mrs.K.S. Joshi, A.G.P. for the R-1 & 2.
Shri S.P. Bhandarkar, counsel for the R-3 & 4.

CORAM : SMT.VASANTI A. NAIK AND
A.B. CHAUDHARI, JJ.

DATE : FEBRUARY 5, 2016.

The learned counsel for the review applicant submits that the contentions raised in the written notes of arguments were not countered by the other side and, therefore, this Court should not have held that resignation by the appellant was validly accepted.

In our opinion, written argument is not a pleading and since the Tribunal exercises plenary power, written argument cannot be treated as pleadings in order to adhere to the principles of natural justice.

The review application is dismissed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

APTE