

IN THE COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 25/2016

(Mohd. Ansar Alias anu s/o Mohd. Hanif vs. The State of Maharashtra)

.....
 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
 Coram, appearances, Court's orders
 of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

Mr. A.A.Syed, Advocate for applicant

Mr. T.A. Mirza, APP for Respondent /non-applicant

CORAM: A.B.CHAUDHARI, J.

DATED : 4th February, 2016.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

It is not in dispute that the applicant is untraceable since the date of commission of the offence. This Application for anticipatory bail was filed in this court on 12.1.2016. The relief cannot be extended to a person who does not avail the remedy at the earliest. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that there is absolutely no evidence against the applicant and, therefore, his request for anticipatory bail should be considered. I do not agree with him, for the simple reason that according to learned APP the charge-sheet shows involvement of the applicant, though as a conspirator. But then the applicant should not have absconded for such a long period. This dis-entitles him from claiming the said relief.

The instant Application is therefore disposed of
 reserving liberty in favour of the applicant to

surrender before the trial Judge and seek regular bail.

JUDGE

sahare