

22nd December, 2015, the Petitioners have made an application for regularisation of the enclosure of the balcony. He submits that Petitioners are willing to make a fresh representation for regularisation of the said alteration, and the Respondent – Corporation may be directed to consider the said application, and till that time, Corporation may be directed not to demolish the said enclosure of the balcony. We, therefore, permit the Petitioners to make an application for regularisation of the alteration made by them in their flat. The said application be filed within six weeks. The Respondent – Corporation shall consider the said application of the Petitioners, on merits and in accordance with law, expeditiously, within a period of two weeks thereafter. During pendency of the said application of regularisation, the Respondents are restrained from taking any action under the notice dated 7.4.2015, which was issued under Section 53 (1) of the MRTP Act. It is made clear that in the event any adverse order is passed, this order of restraint will continue for a period of two weeks from the date of communication of its decision by the Respondent – Corporation to the Petitioners. Needless to state that in the event application for regularisation is not made by the Petitioners within the stipulated

period, the Respondent Corporation is at liberty act upon the impugned order dated 30th January, 2016. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Sd/-

[B. P. COLABAWALLA, J.]

Vinayak Halemath

Sd/-

[V. M. KANADE, J.]