

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO. 60 OF 2016.  
CONNECTED WITH  
COMPANY SUMMONS FOR DIRECTION NO. 927 OF 2015.  
BRHASPATI EQUISTOCKS PRIVATE LIMITED  
.... First Transferor / Petitioner Company

AND

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO. 61 OF 2016.  
CONNECTED WITH  
COMPANY SUMMONS FOR DIRECTION NO. 928 OF 2015.  
OHM EQUIISTOCK PRIVATE LIMITED  
.... Second Transferor / Petitioner Company

WITH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO. 62 OF 2016.  
CONNECTED WITH  
COMPANY SUMMONS FOR DIRECTION NO. 929 OF 2015.  
OHM STOCK BROKER PRIVATE LIMITED  
.... Transferee/ Petitioner Company

In the matter of the Companies Act, 1  
of 1956 and other relevant provisions  
of the Companies Act, 2013;

AND

In the matter of Sections 391 to 394 of  
the Companies Act, 1956 and other  
relevant provisions of the Companies  
Act, 2013;

AND

In the matter of Scheme of Amalgamation of  
BRHASPATI EQUISTOCKS PRIVATE  
LIMITED, the First Transferor Company

AND

OHM EQUIISTOCK PRIVATE LIMITED, the  
Second Transferor Company

WITH

OHM STOCK BROKER PRIVATE LIMITED,  
the Transferee Company

Called for hearing

Mr. Rajesh Shah i/b Rajesh Shah & Co., Advocate for the Petitioners.

Priya V Sankpal i/b Mr. Pankaj Kapoor for Regional Director.

Mr. Vinod Sharma for Official Liquidator.

CORAM: A. K Menon, J.

DATE: 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2016

PC:

1. Heard Learned Counsel for the parties. No objector has come before the court to oppose the Scheme and nor any party has controverted any averments made in the Petitions.
2. The sanction of the Court is sought to a Scheme of Amalgamation of BRHASPATI EQUISTOCKS PRIVATE LIMITED, the First Transferor Company and OHM EQUIISTOCK PRIVATE LIMITED, the Second Transferor Company with OHM STOCK BROKER PRIVATE LIMITED, the Transferee Company and their respective shareholders and Creditors, under Sections 391 to 394 and other relevant provision of the Companies Act, 2013.
3. The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners states that the First Transferor Company has been carrying on the business of renting of premises since last 4-5 year of operations; business activity of the company was trading and investments in shares, securities and derivatives and the Second Transferor Company is presently is in the business of Investments in shares and securities and the Transferee Company has been carrying on the business of Stock Broking activity and trading and Investment in shares, securities and derivatives. The management of the Companies are of the opinion that all the companies are owned by same group of shareholders and it would be advantageous to combine the activities and operations in a

single Company. The amalgamation would provide synergistic linkages besides economies in costs by combining the total business functions and the related activities and operations and thus contribute to the profitability of the amalgamated Company and that the amalgamation will enable the Transferee Company to consolidate the businesses and lead to synergies in operation and create a stronger financial base and the amalgamation will result in economy of scale and reduction in overheads, administrative, managerial and other expenditure and optimal utilization of resources and that the amalgamation will result in significant reduction in the multiplicity of legal and regulatory compliances required at present to be carried out by the Transferor Companies and the Transferee Company and that the Scheme of amalgamation will result in cost saving for all the companies as they are capitalizing on each others core competency and resources which are expected to result in stability of operations, cost savings and higher profitability levels for the Amalgamated Company

4. The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners further states that the Board of Directors of the Petitioner Companies have approved the said Scheme of Amalgamation by passing Board Resolutions which are annexed to the respective Company Scheme Petitions.
5. The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners further states that, Petitioner Companies have complied with all the directions passed in the

respective Company Summons for Directions and that the respective Company Scheme Petitions have been filed in consonance with the orders passed in respective Company Summons for Directions.

6. The Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioners have stated that the Petitioner Companies have complied with all requirements as per directions of this Court and they have filed necessary affidavit of compliance in the Court. Moreover, the Petitioner Companies undertake to comply with all statutory requirements if any, as required under the Companies Act, 1956 / 2013 and rules made there under whichever is applicable. The said undertaking is accepted.
7. The Official Liquidator has filed his report on 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2016 in Company Scheme Petition Nos. 60 and 61 of 2016 stating that the affairs of the Transferor Companies has been conducted in a proper manner and that the Transferor Companies may be ordered to be dissolved.
8. The Regional Director has filed an Affidavit on 18<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2016 stating therein, save and except as stated in paragraph 6, it appears that the Scheme is not prejudicial to the interest of shareholders and public. In paragraph 6 of the said Affidavit, the Regional Director has stated that:-

*“6. That the Deponent further submits that:*

*(a) Petitioner in Clause No.13 of the Scheme inter alia has mentioned that Accounting Treatment. However, not undertaken to make compliance of Accounting Standards-14. Deponent prays that the Hon'ble Court may direct the company to ensure compliance of applicable accounting standards including AS-14, further to what is stand in clause 13 of the Scheme.*

*(b) The Deponent further submits that the Tax issue if any arising out of this scheme shall be subject to final decision of Income Tax Authority and approval of the scheme by Hon'ble High Court may not deter the Income Tax Authority to scrutinize the tax returns filed by the Petitioner company after giving effect to the amalgamation The decision of the Income Tax Authority is binding on the Petitioner Company.*

9. So far as the observation in paragraph 6(a) of the Affidavit of Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submit that the Transferee Company shall pass such accounting entries which are necessary in connection with the Scheme to comply with other applicable Accounting Standard such as AS-14.
10. So far as the observation in paragraph 6(b) of the Affidavit of Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submit that the Petitioner Companies are bound to comply with all applicable provisions of Income Tax Act and all tax issues arising out of the Scheme will be met and answered in accordance with law.

11. The Learned Counsel for Regional Director on instructions of Deputy Director in the office of the Regional Director, Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Western Region, Mumbai states that they are satisfied with the undertakings given by the Petitioner Companies. The above undertakings are accepted.
12. From the material on record, the Scheme appears to be fair and reasonable and is not violative of any provisions of law and is not contrary to public policy.
13. Since all the requisite statutory compliances have been fulfilled, Company Scheme Petition Nos. 60 and 61 of 2016 are made absolute in terms of prayers clause (a) to (d) and Company Scheme Petition No. 62 of 2015 is made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (a) to (c).
14. The Petitioner Companies to file a copy of this order and the Scheme duly authenticated by the Company Registrar, High Court (O.S.), Bombay, with the concerned Superintendent of Stamps, for the purpose of adjudication of stamp duty payable, if any, on the same within 60 days from the date of the Order.
15. The Petitioner Companies are directed to file a copy of order along with a copy of the Scheme of Amalgamation with the concerned Registrar of Companies, electronically, along with E Form INC- 28 in addition to

physical copy as per the relevant provisions of the Companies Act, 1956/2013 whichever is applicable.

16. The Petitioner Companies to pay costs of Rs.10,000/- each to the Regional Director, Western Region, Mumbai and the Petitioner in the Company Scheme Petition Nos. 60 and 61 of 2016 to pay costs of Rs.10,000/- to the Official Liquidator, High Court, Bombay. Cost to be paid within four weeks from the date of the Order.
17. Filing and issuance of the drawn up order is dispensed with.
18. All concerned regulatory authorities to act on a copy of this order along with Scheme duly authenticated by the Company Registrar, High Court (O. S.), Bombay.

(A. K Menon, J.)

CERTIFICATE

I certify that this Order uploaded is a true and correct copy of original signed order.

Uploaded by : Shankar Gawde, Stenographer.