

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3025 OF 2016

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Mr.M.M.Vashi, Sr.Advocate a/w Ms.Aparna Devkar
i/b M/s.M.P.Vashi & Associates for the petitioner

Mr.Sukanta Karmarkar, A.G.P. for the State

Mr.Girish D. Utangale i/b M/s.Utangale and Co. for
the respondent no.2

Mr.Makrand Kale for the respondent no.3

CORAM : K. K. TATED, J.

DATE : DECEMBER 21, 2016

P.C.:

1 Heard.

2 By this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the Petitioner challenges the order dated 9.9.2016 passed by respondent no.2 under section 78(A)1 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960. Prayer clause (b) of the petition shows that petitioner already filed Revision application on 4.8.2016 before the appellate authority. Same is pending for hearing on its own merits.

3 The learned A.G.P. appearing on behalf of

respondent no.1 after taking instruction from his officer makes a statement that both the Revision applications will be decided according to law within 3 months from today. Statement is accepted.

4 In view of the statement made by the learned A.G.P. for the respondent no.1 State, nothing survives in the Writ Petition.

5 As efficacious alternate remedy is available to the petitioner and same is already in motion, I do not find any substance in the present Writ Petition to entertain under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

6 Liberty granted to the petitioner to move before the authority in both the Revision applications if they so desire for interim relief and that application be decided on its own merits.

7 Hence, Writ Petition stands disposed of directing authority to decide petitioner's Revision Application within 3 months from the date of production of this order.

JUDGE