

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 1468 OF 2015
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 765 OF 2015
IN
ARBITRATION MATTER NO. 83 OF 2014**

Mr.Prithipal Surindersingh Chadha .. Applicant/Org.Claimant

In the matter between :

Mr.Prithipal Surindersingh Chadha .. Claimant

Vs.

Mr.Jitenderpal Singh Chadha .. Respondent

Mr.S.H. Bohra for applicant.

Mr.Vijay Kumar Sharma for respondent.

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.

DATE : 27TH APRIL, 2016

P.C.

1 The counsel for the respondent states that the respondent is in financial difficulty. The counsel further states that the property has already been attached and therefore I see no reason why prayer clause (a) as sought in the chamber summons should not be granted.

2 In the circumstances, the chamber summons is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and accordingly disposed of.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)