

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 1834 OF 2015

L & T Finance Limited

... Petitioner

V/s.

Sainath Construction & Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Girish Thakur for the Petitioner.

None for the Respondents.

CORAM: S.J.KATHAWALLA, J.
DATED: 18TH MARCH, 2016

P.C.:

1. The above Petition is filed by the Petitioner under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 seeking reliefs as prayed against the Respondent Nos. 1 & 4. The Petition is served on the Respondent Nos. 1 & 4 and an affidavit proving service is on record. However, no relief is sought against Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 at this stage and liberty is sought to take out a fresh Petition seeking reliefs against Respondent Nos. 2 and 3, which is granted.

2. The petition is today taken up for final hearing. However, none appear for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 4.

3. By a Loan cum Hypothecation Agreement dated 29/02/2012, the Petitioner provided a loan of Rs.17,88,625/- (Rupees Seventeen Lac Eighty Eight Thousand Six Hundred & Twenty Five Only) to the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 for Purchase of Vehicle/Equipment viz. SCHWING STETTER SP 1400 CONCRETE PUMP (2012)

bearing Serial No. 851 (“said Vehicle/ Equipment”) more particularly described in Exhibit “F” to the Petition and on the terms described in the Loan-Cum-Hypothecation Agreement dated 29/02/2012. Under the said Agreement, the said Vehicle/Equipment was hypothecated with the Petitioner by the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, as security for repayment of the Loan amount.

4. The loan amount of Rs. 17,88,625/- (Rupees Seventeen Lac Eighty Eight Thousand Six Hundred & Twenty Five Only) was repayable by the Respondents to the Petitioner with interest @8.00% p.a. totaling to Rs. 22,17,880/- repayable in 35 monthly installments of Rs. 63,368/- each commencing from 01/04/ 2012 and ending on 01/02/ 2015.

5. The Respondent No. 3 has guaranteed the due repayment of the loan and also partner of Respondent No. 1. The Respondent No. 4 is partner of Respondent No. 1 along with Respondent Nos. 2 & 3. Clause 12 of the Loan-Cum-Hypothecation Agreement provides for the events of default; Clause 13 for the consequences of default; Clause 14 provides for the right of the Petitioner on default including repossession of the assets. Clause 17 provides for arbitration. There has been a default on the part of the Respondents and the Respondents failed to pay to the Petitioner a sum of Rs.4,42,909/- as on 25/03/2015. The events of default having taken place in terms of the Loan-Cum-Hypothecation Agreement, the Petitioner became entitled to recall and have recalled the

entire loan. There was no reply to the Loan Recall Notice dated 30/03/2015. The Petitioner therefore invoked the arbitration Clause in the Loan-Cum-Hypothecation Agreement dated 29/02/2012.

6. In the present Petition, the Petitioner has sought appointment of the Court Receiver, High Court Bombay as the Receiver of the hypothecated Vehicle/Equipment, more particularly described in Exhibit “F” to the petition. The Respondent Nos. 1 & 4 have not filed their Affidavit in Reply and are also not present before the court. In absence of any defense or contest by the Respondent Nos. 1 & 4, the averments contained in the Petition have remained uncontroverted. I see no reason why the statements/submissions made by the Petitioner in the Petition should not be accepted. As the Respondents have defaulted in the repayment of the outstanding dues, it is just and necessary to safeguard the interest of the Petitioner by appointing the Court Receiver as Receiver of the hypothecated Vehicle/Equipment. The appointment of the receiver is necessary in order to ensure that the hypothecated Vehicle/Equipment is not wasted or alienated, thereby defeating the rights of the Petitioner. Further interim injunction in terms of the prayer clause (d) also needs to be granted to protect the rights of the Petitioner. The claim of the Petitioner is over Rs.4,42,909/- and unless adequately protected, the Petitioner may suffer irreparable harm and injury. Balance of convenience also warrants the grant of relief. Section 9 empowers the court to pass interim measures of protection. Hence the following order is passed:

(i) Pending the hearing and final disposal of the arbitration proceedings, the Court Receiver is appointed as Receiver in respect of the Hypothecated Vehicle/Equipment, more particularly described in Exhibit “F” to the Petition, with direction to take forcible physical possession of the said Vehicle/Equipment with police assistance, if required, and without any prior notice to the Respondent Nos. 1 & 4;

(ii) The Court Receiver shall within a period of two weeks after taking possession, give an option to the Respondent Nos. 1 & 4, in writing to act as an agent of the Receiver in respect of the said Vehicle/Equipment. The Respondent Nos. 1 & 4 shall be given two weeks time by the Court Receiver from the date of receipt of the Court Receiver’s communication/letter to exercise such an option. In the event of the Respondent Nos. 1 & 4 being desirous of acting as agents of the Court Receiver, they shall be appointed as agents of the Receiver, subject to deposit of security and payment of royalty. The Receiver shall determine the quantum of security and royalty having regard to the terms and conditions contained in the Loan Cum Hypothecation Agreement (Exhibit “A” to the Petition);

(iii) In the event that the Respondents do not communicate their willingness to the Receiver to act as agent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the communication from the Court Receiver, it would be open to the Petitioner to apply to the court for further orders including sale of the Vehicle/Equipment by private treaty;

(iv) There shall also be an interim injunction restraining the Respondents from disposing of alienating, encumbering, parting with possession or creating any third party rights in respect of the said Vehicle/ Equipment described in Exhibit “F” to the Petition.

7. A copy of this order shall be forthwith served on the Respondents by hand delivery and also by Speed Post AD.

8. The Arbitration Petition is accordingly disposed off.

(S.J.KATHAWALLA, J.)