

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO.3027 OF 2016

Shiv Mangal Developers	... Petitioner
v/s	
State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents

Mr Mayur Khandeparkar with Mr Sheelang Shah, Mr Amar Datta, Ms Rachana Loonia i/b M/s Lex Services for Petitioner.
Mr Kedar B. Dighe, AGP for Respondent Nos.1, 3 to 5.
Mr Anoop V. Patil for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM: A.S. OKA AND
SMT ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI JJ.**

DATE : 6TH DECEMBER 2016.

P.C. :-

1. Not on board, taken on board.
2. The learned AGP representing the Respondent Nos.1, 3 to 5 states that property described in paragraph 1.1 of the Petition is not the subject matter of the impugned communications dated 10th May 2016 and 16th May 2016 (Exhibits H and I to the Petition). The

letter dated 22nd November 2016 addressed to the learned AGP by the Superintendent of Mumbai City Survey and Land Records, Mumbai is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. We accept the statements made therein. In view of the statements, the prayer clauses (a) and (b) do not survive. The other prayers are consequential prayers. Hence, by accepting the aforesaid statements made on instructions, we dispose of the Petition.

(SMT ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI J.)

(A.S. OKA J.)