

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2231 OF 2016
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO.57 OF 2014

Rajesh H. Arora	 Applicant
<i>In the matter between</i>	
Rajesh H. Arora	 Appellant
Vs.	
Union of India & Others	 Respondents

AND

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2235 OF 2016
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO.58 OF 2014

M/s. Ravi Raj Processors Pvt. Ltd.	 Applicant
<i>In the matter between</i>	
M/s. Ravi Raj Processors Pvt. Ltd.	 Appellant
Vs.	
Union of India & Others	 Respondents

Mr. Prakash V. Dhopatkar for the Applicant/Appellant
in both NMAs.

Mr. Swapnil Bangur with Ms Ruju R. Thakkar for the
Respondents in both NMAs.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B.P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.

DATE : DECEMBER 19, 2016

P.C:

1. We have heard Mr. Dhopatkar in both motions.
2. The Order was passed after a detailed hearing of both sides. In the event, the appellants desire an opportunity in terms of the order passed by this Court, they have to make arrangements and deposit the sum. Since these motions are filed and with an affidavit in support which would disclose an intention not to comply with the condition, we do not think that such a motion can be entertained. Both the motions are dismissed even after Mr. Dhopatkar invites our attention to paras 18 to 22 of the affidavit in support.

(B.P. COLABAWALLA, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)