

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**COURT RECEIVER'S REPORT NO. 378 OF 2016
IN
SUIT NO. 4510 OF 1995**

M/s. Parvati (Parvathy) Associates .. Plaintiff
Vs.
Mrs. Narmada W/o. Narbharam Contractors
& Ors. .. Defendants

Mr.Jayesh Mestry i/b RMG Law Associates for plaintiff.

Mr.Aditya Singh i/b Little & Co. for defendant nos.8A and 8B.

Mr.K.Y. Ambekar, 2nd Asstt. to C.R.

**CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.
DATE : 22ND DECEMBER, 2016**

P.C.

1 Mrs. Ambekar, 2nd Assistant to the Court Receiver states that pursuant to the order dated 18th November 2016, notice was sent to all the residents of the building to discuss on the Notice issued under Section 354 of the MMC Act. The Minutes of the Meeting dated 2nd December 2016 is filed in which it is stated that none of the residents attended the meeting and 9 of the envelopes sent, returned with the endorsement “*Not Known*”. Defendant no.8A has informed the Court Receiver that since last two years, nobody is residing in the said building and the building is totally vacant.

2 The Mumbai Municipal Corporation is permitted to take such steps permissible in accordance with law but that they shall keep the Court Receiver informed about the same.

3 The Report accordingly disposed in terms of prayer clause (c).
The charges to be paid by the plaintiff.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)