

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1602 OF 2015  
IN  
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 23 OF 2015  
IN  
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 40 OF 2013

WITH  
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1603 OF 2015  
IN  
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 20 OF 2015  
IN  
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 1608 OF 2012

WITH  
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1604 OF 2015  
IN  
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 24 OF 2015  
IN  
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 39 OF 2013

WITH  
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1605 OF 2015  
IN  
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 19 OF 2015  
IN  
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 1536 OF 2012

WITH  
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1606 OF 2015  
IN  
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 17 OF 2015  
IN  
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 1537 OF 2012

WITH  
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1607 OF 2015  
IN  
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 18 OF 2015  
IN  
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 1651 OF 2012

WITH  
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1608 OF 2015  
IN  
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 21 OF 2015  
IN  
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 1650 OF 2012

|                            |   |            |
|----------------------------|---|------------|
| The Principal Commissioner | } |            |
| of Income Tax - III, Pune  | } | Applicant  |
| versus                     |   |            |
| Loknete Balasaheb Desai    | } |            |
| Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana   | } |            |
| Ltd.                       | } | Respondent |

Mr. Tejveer Singh for the applicant.

Mr. Rohan Deshpande i/b. Mr. Mihir  
Naniwadekar for the respondent.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &  
A. A. SAYED, JJ.  
DATED :- OCTOBER 19, 2016

P.C. :- (In Chamber)

1. We have heard Mr. Tejveer Singh appearing in support of these notices of motion for condonation of delay and Mr. Deshpande appearing for the respondent.

2. For the reasons set out in the affidavits in support and which constitute sufficient cause, we condone the delay in filing each of these review petitions. The Notices of motion are accordingly disposed of.

(A.A.SAYED, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)