

VPH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION No. 189 OF 2014

Mr. Kamad Prakash Nigam

....Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and Ors.

....Respondents

Ms. Priyanka Mehendiratta for Petitioner

Mr. Upendra Lokegaonkar i/b. N.R. Prajapati for Respondent Nos.1
and 2- Union of India

CORAM : V. M. KANADE, &
M. S. SONAK, JJ.

DATE : JUNE 22, 2016

PC.

1. The Petitioner is aggrieved by an order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal (for short "CAT"), rejecting the application filed by the Petitioner seeking interest @ 18% per annum for the delay caused in accepting his application for voluntary retirement.

2. We have perused the impugned order. The CAT has observed that the delay was not inordinate or deliberate on the part of the Respondents. The Tribunal has also observed that the

Respondents have not collected sufficient material regarding the complaint filed by the Petitioner herein and had passed an order accepting the voluntary retirement after it was satisfied that the complaint filed against the Petitioner was not acceptable. We do not see any reason to interfere with the will considered finding, recorded by the Tribunal. Hence, writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
[M. S. SONAK, J.]

Sd/-
[V. M. KANADE, J.]

Vinayak Halemath