

SHEPHALI

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 233 OF 2016
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO. 248 OF 2012**

Balraj Kistappa Sangam	...Petitioner
<i>And</i>	
Ballamma Narsappa	...Deceased

Mr. Santosh S. Jagtap, *for the Petitioner.*

**CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 28th November 2016**

PC:-

1. For the reasons set out in the Affidavit in Support, the Notice of Motion for restoration is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

2. I find that even the first notice is not issued. The Petitioner and his Advocate are put to notice that from this point onward they will not be entitled for any adjournment either before the Court or the Registry and that they will comply with all requisitions and objections within the specified period and without seeking any extensions of time. The learned Advocate accepts this as a

reasonable condition precedent for allowing the present application for restoration.

3. The Notice of Motion is disposed of in these terms with no order as to costs.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)