

JSN

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTE JURISDICTION
TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO. 168 OF 2016
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO. 1277 OF 2015

Ramesh Dahyalal Shah	...Plaintiff
<i>Versus</i>	
Kirti Dahyalal Shah	...Defendant

Sophia Pinto, for the Plaintiff.
Ms. Reena Salunkhe, i/b Prerna Lalchandani for Defendant.

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 27th September 2016

PC:-

1. The Suit is settled. Consent Terms are tendered in the Suit. The Consent Terms are signed by the Plaintiff and the Defendant and their Advocates. Both parties are present in Court.

2. I have seen the Consent Terms. The Consent Terms are in order. They are not contrary to law. They appear to have been drawn by the parties of their own volition and they reflect the parties' true intentions. The Consent Terms are taken on record and marked "X" for identification. The undertakings in the Consent Terms are accepted as undertakings to the Court. The Suit is disposed of in accordance with the Consent Terms.

3. Refund of Court Fees, if any, in accordance with the Rules.
4. The Consent Terms require the issuance of probate. Drawn up decree / order in accordance with the Consent Terms also expedited. Issuance of probate is also expedited.
5. All concerned to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)