IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 1180 OF 2015
IN
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.538 OF 2015

Ramjeet Feku Yadav .. Applicant

In the matter of
Ramjeet Feku Yadav .. Appellant

v/s.
The Commissioner of Income Tax-31
Mumbai .. Respondent

Mr. Rayan R. Saldhana for the appellant
Mr. Tejveer Singh for the respondent

CORAM : M.S. SANKLECHA &
A.K. MENON, J.J.

DATED : 24th JUNE, 2016.

P.C.

1. Mr. Saldhana, learned Counsel appearing for the applicant in support of the Chamber Summons seeking to amend the Appeal Memo in terms of the schedule annexed to the Chamber Summons.

2. At the hearing, Mr. Saldhana, learned Counsel for the applicant invites our attention to amendment as set out in the schedule to the Chamber Summons. Mr. Saldhana further states that he is pressing

only para 1 and para 5 of the schedule annexed to the chamber summons i.e. to substitute “respondent no.1” shown in the title of Appeal Memo as “Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) 34” with “Commissioner of Income Tax 31” while in para 5 of the schedule, three more questions are proposed to be raised for consideration of this Court as substantial questions of law.

3. The Chamber Summons is allowed to the above extent i.e. to the extent of paragraph 1 and 5 of the schedule to the Chamber Summons. The applicant to carry out the amendment within two weeks from today and serve the amended copy of the Appeal Memo upon the respondents within one week thereafter.

4. Chamber Summons is disposed of in the above terms. No order as to costs.

(A.K. MENON, J.) **(M.S. SANKLECHA, J.)**