

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 991 OF 2010
IN
SUIT NO. 3615 OF 2007**

Mr.S.R. Dantal .. Plaintiff
Vs.
Mr.Sudhakar Ganpat Rane & Ors. .. Defendants
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 343 OF 2015
IN
SUIT NO. 3615 OF 2007

Jai Bhavani S.R.A.Co.op.HSg.Soc.Ltd. .. Applicants

In the matter between :

Mr.S.R. Dantal .. Plaintiff
Vs.
Mr.Sudhakar Ganpat Rane & Ors. .. Defendants

Mr.Mihir Desai a/w. Mr.aMangesh Deshmukh i/b S.V. Dhawale for plaintiff.

Mr.Aseem Naphade a/w. Mr. Kushal Amin i/b S. K. Srivastav & Co. for
defendant nos.1 to 3.

Ms.Simeen Shaikh for defendant no.4.

Mr.Jaideep Mitra i/b Dattatray Prakash Adarkar for applicant in
CHS/343/2015.

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.
DATE : 16TH JUNE, 2016

P.C.

1 Mr.Jaideep Mitra, counsel states that the applicant is a proper party

and not a necessary party. The reason why he states that his clients is proper party because they would like to know the outcome of the suit and would like to be informed about the progress of the suit. The applicant is a Society of Slum Dwellers and the Scheme for SRA has already been submitted to the Authority.

2 If the reason for which the applicant wants to be added as a party is only to know the status and outcome of the suit, the interest of justice would meet if the plaintiff is directed to keep the applicant-society informed about the progress of the suit. The plaintiff to forward a copy of every order passed including an adjournment order within two weeks of the order being made available to the plaintiff.

3 The chamber summons according stands dismissed.

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 991 OF 2010

4 The notice of motion be listed for hearing on 14th July 2016.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)