

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2113 OF 2015**

Mr. Triace Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Petitioner
versus	
The State of Maharashtra and ors.	...Respondents

Mr.Amarendra Mishra, advocate for the petitioner.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 2nd AUGUST, 2016.

P. C. :

Mr. Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, makes a statement that he is restricting this petition to the relief claimed under prayer clause (b) reserving the liberty to seek an appropriate remedy in respect of the relief claimed under prayer clause (c). The statement is accepted.

2. By the relief claimed under prayer clause (b), the petitioner made a grievance that the proposal under Section 126 of the Maharashtra Regional and Town Planning Act, 1966 is not decided by respondent No.2-Corporation. In that view of the statement, we deem it convenient to dispose of this petition by directing respondent No.2 to decide the petitioner's proposal dated 14th June, 2013, a copy of which is annexed at "Exhibit U", pages 84-87 as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Ordered accordingly.

3. It is made clear that we have not gone into the merits of the matter and all rights and contentions of the respective parties are kept expressly open.

4. The petitioner is directed to communicate this order to respondent No.2 along with copy of the representation.

5. In view of above, the petition stands disposed of.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]