

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
ARBITRATION APPLICATION NO. 90 OF 2011

Kantilal H. Gudka and Anr. ... Applicants

Versus

Retnasamy Elango and Anr. ... Respondents

AND

ARBITRATION APPLICATION NO. 91 OF 2011

Harsha A. Gudka and Anr. ... Applicants

Versus

Retnasamy Elango and Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Jay Vakil a/w. Mr. Tushar K. i/b. MDP and Partners for the Applicants.

Mr. Omar K. Shaikh for the Respondents.

CORAM : S.J. KATHAWALLA, J.
DATED : 29TH JANUARY, 2016

P.C.

1. On 28th January, 2016 this Court passed the following order :

“1. By consent of the parties, the disputes in the above Arbitration Applications are proposed to be referred to Mr. Vishal Kanade, Advocate. In view thereof, Mr. Vishal Kanade, Advocate, shall submit disclosure under Section 11(8) read with Section 12(1) of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

2. The Advocates for the parties shall forward a copy of this order to Mr. Vishal Kanade, Advocate.

3. All concerned to act on an ordinary copy of this order duly authenticated by the learned Associate of this Court.

4. Stand over to 29-01-2016.”

2. Pursuant to the above order, Mr. Vishal Kanade, Advocate has filed

disclosure under Section 11(8) read with Section 12(1) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. The same is taken on record and the following order is passed by consent :

- i. The disputes arising out of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 16th March, 2010 between the parties are referred to the sole Arbitration of Mr. Vishal Kanade, Advocate.
 - ii. The parties shall appear before the learned Arbitrator in his chambers, on 2nd February, 2016 at 5.30 p.m. and obtain necessary directions.
 - iii. The cost of arbitration shall initially be borne by the parties equally.
 - iv. All contentions of the parties are kept open.
 - v. The venue of Arbitration shall be at Mumbai.
3. In view of this order, the above Arbitration Applications are disposed of.

(S.J.KATHAWALLA, J.)