

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1202 OF 2014
IN
SUIT NO.2518 OF 2011**

Sandeep MehtaApplicant/Org. Def. No.7

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN :

Sheth Builders Pvt. Ltd.Plaintiff

Vs.

N.K. Suvarna Family Trust & Ors.Defendants

Mr. Yayha Ghogari a/w. Ms. Rashmi Salian and Ms. Krishma Agarwal i/b. Vigil Juris for the plaintiff.

Ms. Tejal Pandya i/b. M/s. Pandya Gandhi & Co. for the defendant nos.2 to 6.

Ms. Sangita R. Gudhka for the defendant no.7/applicant.

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.

DATE : 21st DECEMBER, 2016

P.C.

1 Have heard the counsel for the applicant and also the counsel for the plaintiff. Since the delay is of only 134 days, the counsel for the plaintiff in fairness states that the delay could be condoned.

2 In view thereof, the notice of motion is allowed and accordingly disposed in terms of prayer clauses – (a) and (b).

3 The registry to accept the written statement of defendant no.7 and take the same on record subject to removal of office objections.

4 The statement of the counsel for the defendant no.7 that they will lodge the written statement in the registry before the end of this week is accepted.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)