

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 1874 OF 2009**

Bodyrhythm Enterprises Ltd.	Petitioner
versus	
Municipal Corporation for Greater Mumbai	...Respondent

Mr. Atul G. Damle, senior counsel i/b. Mr. Pankaj S. Shah, advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs. Leela Joglekar, advocate for the Corporation.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 18th AUGUST, 2016.

P. C. :

Mr. Damle, learned senior counsel having taken instructions from Dr. Shwetak H. Jain, Director of the petitioner, makes a statement that the offending structure described in "Exhibit X" at page 92 is already removed. In the light of the said statement, the petitioner does not wish to proceed further and seeks leave to withdraw the petition. Leave as prayed for, is granted. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

2. In view of the dismissal of the petition, chamber summons Nos.61 of 2014 and 91 of 2016 will not survive for consideration and same are also dismissed.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]