

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION LODGING NO. 2248 OF 2016**

Smt. Amina Hussain Mulla
versus
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai and
Anr.

....Petitioner

...Respondents

Mr. Akhilesh Dubey along with Mr. Vagish Mishra i/b. M/s. Law
Counsellors, advocates for the petitioner.
Mrs. Geeta Joglekar, advocate for the Municipal Corporation.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 18th AUGUST, 2016.

P. C. :

Mentioned for production. Taken up on production board in
view of urgency.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.

3. By this petition, the petitioner is challenging the notices dated
2nd August, 2016 and 9th August, 2016 issued by respondent No.3. We
have perused the said notices. By the said notices, the petitioner has
been asked to show cause as to why the offending structure should not
be demolished and to submit requisite documents/approved plan in
respect of the said construction within a period of three days. Learned
counsel for the petitioner makes a grievance that the said notices were
received on 3rd August, 2016 and 12th August, 2016 respectively, and,
therefore, 3 days time is insufficient to submit the requisite documents.

4. We are not inclined to interfere in the petition as the notices challenged in the petition are merely show cause notices. In our considered view, the petition can be disposed of by giving an opportunity to the petitioner to file reply to the said notices and produce documents within a period of 7 days from today. Ordered accordingly.

5. In the light of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]