

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 1272 OF 2015

Tata Capital Financial Services Ltd

.....Petitioner

Versus

AVTAR TRADERS & Anr.

.....Respondents

Mr. Nikhil Mehta i/b. KMC Legal Venture for the Petitioner.

None for Respondents.

CORAM: S.J. KATHAWALLA, J.

DATED: 28th July 2016

P.C

1. The above Petition is filed by the Petitioner under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 seeking reliefs as prayed against the Respondents. The Petition is served on the Respondent and an Affidavit proving service is on record. The Petition is today taken up for final hearing. However, none appear for the Respondents.

2. By a Loan cum Hypothecation Agreement dated 08.10.2013 (“said Agreement”), the Petitioner provided a loan of Rs.16,76,600/- (Rupees Sixteen Lacs Seventy Six Thousand Six Hundred Only) to the Respondents for purchase of an asset being JCB3DX bearing Chassis No. HAR3DXSSV01857788 (“said Asset”) more particularly described in paragraph 2 of the Petition and on the terms described in the Loan-cum-Hypothecation Agreement dated 08.10.2013. Under the said Agreement, the said Asset was hypothecated with the Petitioner by the Respondents, as security for repayment of the Loan amount.

3. The Loan amount of Rs.16,76,600/- (Rupees Sixteen Lacs Seventy Six Thousand Six Hundred Only) was repayable by the Respondents to the Petitioner with interest @ 14.40% per annum in 42 monthly installments the 1st installment being of Rs.49,500/- and the subsequent 41 installments being of Rs. 49,500/- each.

4. Respondent No.2 has executed the Loan Agreement in the capacity as Guarantor of the aforesaid Loan.

5. Clause 17 of the Loan-cum-Hypothecation Agreement provides for the events of default; Clause 18 for the consequences of default; Clause 18.1 provides for Repossession of Asset. Clause 23 provides for Arbitration.

6. There has been a default on the part of the Respondents and the Respondents failed to pay to the Petitioner a sum of Rs. 12,60,663.01/-(Rupees Twelve Lacs Sixty Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Three and Paise Zero One Only) as on 09.07.2015. The events of default having taken place in terms of the Loan-cum-Hypothecation Agreement, the Petitioner became entitled to recall and have recalled the entire loan. There was no reply to the Loan Recall Notice dated 21.01.2015. The Petitioner therefore invoked the arbitration clause in the Loan-cum-Hypothecation Agreement dated 08.10.2013.

7. In the present Petition, the Petitioner has sought appointment of the Court Receiver, High Court Bombay as the Receiver of the hypothecated Asset, more particularly described in paragraph 2 to the Petition. The Respondents have not filed their Affidavit in Reply and are also not present before the Court. In absence of any defence or contest by the Respondents, the averments contained in the Petition have remained uncontroverted. I see no reason why the statements/ submissions made by the Petitioner in the Petition should not be accepted. As the Respondents have defaulted in the repayment of the

outstanding dues, it is just and necessary to safeguard the interest of the Petitioner by appointing the Court Receiver as Receiver of the Hypothecated Asset. The appointment of the Receiver is necessary in order to ensure that the said Asset is not wasted or alienated, thereby defeating the rights of the Petitioner. Further interim injunction in terms of prayer clause (c) also needs to be granted to protect the rights of the Petitioner. The claim of the Petitioner is over Rs.12,60,663.01/- and unless adequately protected, the Petitioner may suffer irreparable harm and injury. Balance of convenience also warrants the grant of relief. Section 9 empowers the Court to pass an interim measure of protection.

Hence, the following order is passed:

- a) Pending the hearing and final disposal of the arbitration proceedings, the Court Receiver is appointed as Receiver in respect of the Hypothecated Asset, more particularly described in paragraph 2 to the Petition viz: being JCB3DX bearing Chassis No. HAR3DXSSV01857788, with direction to take forcible physical possession of the said Asset with police assistance, if required, and without any prior notice to the Respondents;
- (b) The Court Receiver shall within a period of two weeks after taking possession, give an option to the Respondents, in writing to act as an agent of the Receiver in respect of the said Asset. The Respondents shall be given two weeks time by the Court Receiver from the date of receipt of the Court Receivers communication/letter to exercise such option. In the event of the Respondents being desirous of acting as agents of the Receiver, they shall be appointed as agent of the Receiver, subject to deposit of security and payment of royalty. The Receiver shall determine the quantum of security and royalty having regard

to the terms and conditions contained in the Loan-cum-Hypothecation Agreement (Exhibit A to the Petition);

(c) In the event that the Respondents do not communicate their willingness to the Receiver to act as agent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the communication from the Court Receiver, it would be open to the Petitioner to apply to the Court for further orders including sale of the said Asset by private treaty;

(d) There shall also be an interim injunction restraining the Respondents from disposing of, alienating, encumbering, parting with possession or creating any third party rights in respect of the said Asset described in paragraph 2 to the Petition.

8) A copy of this order shall be forthwith served on the Respondents by hand delivery and also by Speed Post A.D.

9) The Arbitration Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(S.J. KATHAWALLA, J.)