

SHEPHALI

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION (L) NO. 2344 OF 2016
IN
SUIT (L) NO. 816 OF 2016
WITH
LEAVE PETITION NO. 227 OF 2016**

Hindustan Unilever Ltd. ...Plaintiffs
Versus
Romika Industries ...Defendants

Mr. Nikhil Sharma, *a/w Ms. Ashwini Khalapurkar, i/b W. S. Kane & Co., for the Plaintiffs.*
Mrs. Kavita Ambekar, *Ind Assistant to Court Receiver, present.*

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 1st September 2016

PC:-

1. The Receiver has executed the commission in accordance with the order dated 9th August 2016. There is a report that is placed. It shows that substantial quantity of goods with the offending mark were found. The Defendants have been served. There is an Affidavit of Service dated 29th August 2016. The Affidavit is taken on file. Despite service, none appears for the Defendants.

2. For the reasons set out in the Petition for leave under Clause XIV of the Letters Patent, it is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

3. Now that leave has been granted, there will be, in addition to the previous ad-interim order, which is to continue as a final order on this Notice of Motion, an interim order in terms of prayer clause (b).

4. The Notice of Motion is disposed of in these terms. There will be no order as to costs.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)