

nsc.

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION***

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.63 OF 2013

“Samarth” ..Petitioner
Versus
Slum Rehabilitation Authority and Ors. ...Respondents

None for the Petitioner.

Mr.Arvind Aswani i/b J.G.Reddy, for the Respondent No.1.

Mr.Rajeev Narula a/w Mr.Bhupesh Dhumatkar i/b Jhangiani, Narula & Associates, for the Respondent No.3.

Ms.Trupiti Puranik, for the Respondent – BMC.

Mr.J.S.Saluja, AGP for the Respondent-State

***CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.***

DATE : 22nd JANUARY, 2016

P.C. :

1. None appears on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not keen in pursuing the petition.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents have raised a preliminary objection regarding the maintainability

of this PIL, firstly on the ground that the petitioner is not competent to file a PIL since several complaints are registered against the petitioner. A list of complaints are referred to at page 135 in the affidavit in reply filed by the petitioner. Secondly, it is submitted that the PIL is in respect of a SRA scheme which is on a private land and therefore there is no public interest involved.

3. The Petition is, therefore, dismissed.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

V.M. KANADE, J.