

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.946 OF 2015

IN

APPEAL (L.) NO.684 OF 2007

Namdev Tukaram Pednekar ... Applicant
Versus
Maharashtra State Co-op Bank Ltd. And Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Rahul Nerlekar for the Applicant.
Mr. Swapnil P. Kamble for the Respondent No.1.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
M.S. KARNIK, JJ.**

DATE : 22nd NOVEMBER, 2016

PC.

1 Heard the learned counsel appearing for the Applicant/
Appellant and the learned counsel appearing for the first Respondent.
The second Respondent is a formal party. Appeal preferred by the
Applicant has been rejected for non removal of office objections by the
Prothonotary and Senior Master in exercise of powers under Rule 986
of the Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rules, 1960.

2 In view of the averments made in the affidavit in support
from paragraph 3 onwards, a case for restoration is made out.
Accordingly, notice of motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause

(a) subject to condition of Applicant of removing all office objections in the Appeal within a period of four weeks from today. If office objections are not removed within stipulated time, the order of rejection shall stand. If office objections are removed within stipulated time, Appeal shall be fixed for "Admission" on the date fixed as per CMIS.

(M.S. KARNIK, J.)

(A.S. OKA, J)