

Vat

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION***

PUBLIC INTEREST INTERST (L) No. 64 OF 2016

Shri Parag Ranjitkumar Kotadia

....Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and ors.

....Respondents

None for the Petitioner

Mr. Bharat Mehta -AGP for Respondent Nos. 15,16, 18 and 19

Mr. J.G. Reddy for Respondent Nos. 11 to 14

Mr. Satish Kamat for Respondent No.17

***CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
M.S. SONAK, JJ.***

DATE : AUGUST 3, 2016

P.C. :

1. None appears on behalf of the Petitioner.
2. The Petitioner has filed this PIL under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following reliefs.

“a) That, the Respondent Nos. 11, 15 and 16 be ordered or directed to cancel, rescind and/or revoke the permissions or sanctions and/or order for Annexure -II, allotment letters, LOI, IOA and CC in or upon the suit property i.e. Janu Bhoje Nagar, Land Bearing CTS No. 811-A/7(Pt), 812, 813, 814(A/1), 814 (A/2), 814(A/3), 814 (A/4), 821, 824, 825(pt.) & 844, of Kokani Pada Kurar Village, P North Ward of MCGM, Tk.:Borivali,Malad (East), Mumbai – 400

097.

b) Direct the Respondent Nos. 1, 11, 15 and 16 to investigate as per the complaint filed in this Public Interest Litigation by the Petitioner under the monitoring of this Hon'ble Court.

c) Direct the Respondent Nos.1,11, 15 and 16 to give the status report of its investigation as per the complaint stated in this Public Interest Litigation.

d) Direct the Senior Inspector of Kurar Village Police Station who is Respondent No.18 to investigate the complaint as per the orders U/s. 156(3) issued by the 66th M.M. Court, Borivali Division for the offence of cheating, mis-appropriation and forgery committed by Respondent Nos. 2 to 10 and 20 as stated in this Petition.”

3. The Petitioner has not annexed any documents to the petition. It is obvious that the PIL has been filed without making any enquiry with the concerned authorities. We are satisfied that there is no public interest involved in this PIL since the aggrieved parties can very well approach to the Court or Forum which is available to them. Hence, PIL is dismissed.

M.S. SONAK, J.

V.M. KANADE, J.