IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.2183 OF 2009
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.2184 OF 2009
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.2185 OF 2009
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.2208 OF 2009
WITH
INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.2295 OF 2009

The Commissioner of Income Tax-13,
Mumbai 400 020 ... Appellant
v/s
Urmiladevi V. Wahi,
Mumbai 400 003 ... Respondent

Mr P.C. Chhotaray for Appellant.
Mr Ashok Patil for Respondent.

CORAM : M.S. SANKLECHA AND
B.P. COLABAWALLA JJ.

DATE : 22ND FEBRUARY, 2016

P.C.:-

1. These five Appeals relate to Assessment Years 1994-95, 1995-96, 1996-97, 1997-98 and 1998-99.

2. Mr Chhotaray, learned counsel for the Revenue states that the tax effect in each of the five Appeals is below Rs.20 lakhs. He further states that in view of the Central Board of Direct Tax Circular No.21/15 dated 10th December 2015, the tax effect in each of the Appeals being less than the threshold limits of Rs.20 lakhs provided therein for challenging an order of the Tribunal before this Court, he does not press the five Appeals.

3. Accordingly, all the five Appeals are dismissed as not pressed. Refund of Court fees as per Rules.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.) **(M.S. SANKLECHA, J.)**