

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION [L] NO.1735 OF 2016

SERGI Transformer Explosion Prevention
Technologies Private Limited Petitioner
Vs.
Union of India & Others Respondents

WITH

**NOTICE OF MOTION [L] NO.472 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION [L] NO.1735 OF 2016**

SERGI Transformer Explosion Prevention
Technologies Private Limited Applicant
In the matter between
SERGI Transformer Explosion Prevention
Technologies Private Limited Petitioner
Vs.
Union of India & Others Respondents

Mr. Prasad Dani, Senior Counsel with Mr. Jehangir
Jejeebhoy & Mr. Aditya Sikka i/by M/s. Bharucha &
Partners for the Applicant/Petitioner.

Mr. A.R. Singh with Ms Vaishali Chaudhari for the
Respondent No.1.

Mr. Ravi Kadam, Senior Counsel with Mr. Himanshu
Kane, Mr. Vishal Kanade, Mr. Rohan Kadam, Mr. Amit
Jajoo & Ms Anaisha Zacharian i/by M/s. PKA Advocates
for the Respondent No.4.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : JULY 05, 2016

P.C:

1. Heard Mr. Dani, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner. With his assistance, we have perused the petition and all the annexures thereto.

2. Upon perusal of the paper-book, we are of the opinion that the order under challenge is interlocutory. In the event the final order in the proceedings is in any way adverse to the interest of the petitioner, then, while challenging it, it would be open for the petitioner to also impugn the direction in the order dated 6-6-2016. All contentions in relation thereto of both sides, including based on the grounds in the petition, are kept open. The writ petition is disposed of.

3. We extend the time to dispose of the proceedings before the Controller of Patents and Designs by 31-8-2016.

4. In view of disposal of the writ petition, the Notice of Motion {L} No.472 of 2016 does not survive and it accordingly stands disposed of.

(DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)