

nsc.

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION***

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.102 OF 2013

Indian Council of Investors	...Petitioner
Versus	
Union of India and Ors.	...Respondents

None for the Petitioner.

Mr.D.P.Singh, for the Respondent – Union of India.

Mr.Chirag Kamdar a/w Mr.Asadulla Thangal i/b M/s.Naik Naik & Co., for the Respondent No.4.

Ms.Namrata Shah i/b M/s.K.Ashar & Co., for the Respondent No.5.

Ms.Namita Shetty i/b Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas, for the Respondent No.8.

***CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.***

DATE : 12th FEBRUARY, 2016

P.C. :

1. None appears on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last date when the matter was called out, neither the petitioner nor his counsel remained present.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no.4 submits that due to subsequent events the petition has become infructuous. The learned counsel further submits that most of the reliefs which are claimed by the petitioner in this petition have already been granted by this Court either in this PIL or some other proceedings which are pending in this Court.

3. It appears that the petitioner is not keen in pursuing this petition.

4. Petition is, therefore, dismissed for want of prosecution.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

V.M. KANADE, J.