

Ms. Louise Dorothy Crasto	}	Petitioner
versus		
The State of Maharashtra	}	
and Ors.	}	Respondents

Ms. Akshita Mehta i/b. M/s. Thakordas
and Co. for Respondent No. 3.

DATED :- FEBRUARY 12, 2016

It appears that on 27th March, 2014, the present set of Advocates informed the Registry and in the presence of the Petitioner that they do not desire to continue as the Advocates. There is a record of this by the Additional Prothonotary and Senior Master.

J. V. Salunke, PA

cannot complain if we dismiss the Petition for want of prosecution for, all remedies to recover the fees and in accordance with law are available and intact. The Petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(M.S.SONAK, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)