

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 1585 OF 2016

Sharda Bhaskar Sonawane	}	
and Anr.	}	Petitioners
versus		
Asset Reconstruction	}	
Company (I) Ltd.	}	Respondent

Mr. R. C. Dhuru for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Patil i/b. M/s. Vivek Patil and
Associates for the respondent.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, JJ.

DATED :- JULY 22, 2016

P.C. :-

After having heard the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner, we do not think that we should interfere with the purely interlocutory order made by the Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT). If the main proceedings are pending, then, if the final order therein is adverse to the interest of the petitioners, while challenging that order, they can also impugn the condition that is now imposed by the DRT. We do not think that we should interfere at this stage in writ jurisdiction. The writ petition is dismissed.

(DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)