

had submitted report which was in conflict with the report submitted by respondent No. 6 in which it was stated that the building is in dilapidated condition and requires to be pulled down.

3. Thus admittedly there are two different conflicting reports about the condition of the structure in question. In the circumstances, keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in the case of **Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai Vs. State of Maharashtra and others in Writ Petition (L) No. 1135 of 2014**, we are of the view that the matter requires to be referred to Technical Advisory Committee (for short 'TAC') for deciding the condition of the structure.

4. As a result, we direct the Corporation to refer the matter to TAC within two weeks. The TAC, on getting the reference from the Corporation, shall consider both the reports and shall thereafter take appropriate decision in accordance with law keeping in view the directions contained in paragraph

9 of the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court on 23/06/2014 in Writ Petition (L) No. 1135 of 2014.

5. The TAC report, if adverse to the interest of the petitioners shall not be given effect to by the Corporation for the period of 15 days enabling the petitioners to seek appropriate remedy against it in accordance with law.

6. As regards the controversy as to whether the water connection of the petitioners is required to be restored or not, we feel that it is not necessary at this stage to pass any order in that regard.

7. The undertaking which has already been submitted by the petitioners shall be operative till TAC decides the matter and upto 15 days thereafter.

8. With the aforesaid, Petition stands disposed of.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(SHANTANU.S.KEMKAR, J.)