

VIKRANT

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1159 OF 2015
IN
SUIT NO. 570 OF 2015**

Knitmesh Limited	...Plaintiffs
<i>Versus</i>	
Vijay Parekh and Anr.	...Defendants

Mr. Prathamesh B. Bhanude, *i/b Mr. S. B. Deshmukh for the Plaintiff.*
Mr. Ramesh Gajria, *i/b Gajria and Co. for the Defendants.*

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 11th August 2016

PC:-

1. The Suit is settled. Consent terms are tendered. These are signed by the authorized signatory of the Plaintiff, Mr. Jitendra Jain and by the 1st Defendant, for himself and as a Director of the 2nd Defendant. Parties are present in Court. The Consent Terms are also signed by the Advocates for the parties.

2. Having seen that the Consent Terms are being satisfied, that these are not contrary to law, that they

are fair and reasonable, reflect the true intention of the parties and have been drawn by the parties of their own volition, I take the Consent Terms on record and mark them "X" for identification. The statement tendered contains agreements and undertakings. These are accepted as undertakings to the Court.

3. In view of this, the Suit is disposed of in accordance with the Consent Terms. Refund of Court fee, if any, in accordance with the Rules.

4. All concerned to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

5. In view of disposal of the Suit, all pending interim applications do not survive and are disposed of accordingly.

6. The Copy of the authority letter in favour of Plaintiff's authorized signatory is to be placed on record.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)