

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 70 OF 2015

Indian Pharmaceutical Alliance	}	
and Anr.	}	Petitioners
versus		
Union of India and Ors.	}	Respondents

Ms. Ethel Pereira with Mr. Aditya N. Raut
i/b. M/s. Desai Desai Carrimjee and
Company for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil C. Singh - Additional Solicitor
General with Dr. G. R. Sharma and Mr. D.
P. Singh for the respondents.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.**

DATED :- SEPTEMBER 26, 2016

P.C. :-

1. The argument of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners is that there is a civil contempt. Though there was an ad-interim order passed by this court, show cause notices have been issued and one such instance is pointed out of a show cause notice issued to one Cadila Pharmaceuticals Limited, copy of which is at page 96 of the paper book.

2. After perusing the contempt petition and all annexures thereto, we do not think that in the given facts and

circumstances, mere issuance of a show cause notice would mean acting contrary to the restraint order passed by this court. There is nothing coercive in the acts brought on record, which would amount to defeating the restraint and prohibition by the ad-interim order. The contempt petition is entirely misconceived. It is dismissed.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)