

dik

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**COMPANY PETITION NO. 534 OF 2008
WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO.535 OF 2008**

P.K.Sharma	...Petitioner
VS	
Sudha Industrial Corpoation Ltd.	...Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO.536 OF 2008**

Draupadi Sharma	...Petitioner
VS	
Sudha Industrial Corpoation Ltd.	...Respondent

Mr M.R.Patel for the Petitioner
None for the Respondent

.....

.....

CORAM : B. P. COLABAWALLA J.

APRIL 13, 2016

P.C. :

Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the Petitioner states that her client is bed-ridden and suffering from Cancer and, therefore, does not wish to prosecute the Petitions any more. Since the Company Petitions were already admitted, the withdrawal thereof were ordered to be advertised. Accordingly, the withdrawal of these Petitions has been duly advertised in "**Free Press Journal**"

(in English) on 7 October, 2010 and in “**Maharashtra Times**” (in Marathi) on 8 October, 2010. Pursuant to these notices, advocates M/s M.V.Kini & Company representing Hongkong Shanghai Banking Corporation Limited, Mumbai claiming to be unsecured creditors of the Respondent Company, informed the Liquidator that their clients intended to oppose the withdrawal of these Petitions. Despite this letter, no opposition has been filed by the said Hongkong Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd. and none have appeared on their behalf today. Apart from this, no other person has come forward to oppose withdrawal of this Petition.

2 In this view of the matter, the Company Petitions are allowed to be withdrawn and are disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

(B. P. COLABAWALLA J.)