

Sbw

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.845 OF 2011**

The Commissioner of Income Tax-25

..Appellant

Versus

Savita D. Marthak

..Respondent

None for the Revenue.

.....

.....

**CORAM: M. S. SANKLECHA &
A. K. MENON, JJ.****DATE : 10th AUGUST, 2016**

PC.:

This appeal relates to A.Y. 2001-02.

2. None appears in support of the appeal on behalf of the Revenue. It appears that the Revenue is not interested in prosecuting this appeal. We note that the tax effect involved in the present appeal is Rs.15.53 lakhs as indicated in para 9 of the memo of appeal. This possibly explains the non-appearance on behalf of the appellant-revenue before us. In any case, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(A. K. MENON, J.)**(M. S. SANKLECHA, J.)**