

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 944 OF 2015
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 100 OF 2015

M/s. Techno Forge Ltd. } Applicant
 versus
Union of India and Ors. } Respondents

Mr. H. G. Dharmadhikari with Ms. Lalita
Phadke for the Applicant.

Mr. A. S. Rao for the Respondent.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
 G. S. PATEL, JJ.

DATED :- JANUARY 4, 2016

P.C. :-

Mr. Rao accepts service of the Notice of Motion.

2) After hearing both sides, we are of the view that the
delay has been satisfactorily explained. It is accordingly
condoned. The Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of
prayer clause (a). There would be no order as to costs.

(G.S.PATEL, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)