

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.76 OF 2013**

Anandji Narayan Sawant	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Maharashtra and Ors.	... Respondents

Mrs. M.V. Sanglikar for the Petitioner.

Mr. Bharat Mehta, AGP for the Respondent Nos.1 and 3 – State.

Mr. J.G. Reddy for the Respondent No.2.

Mr. Ashok R. Pande for the Respondent No.4.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
P. D. NAIK, JJ.**

DATE : 1st APRIL, 2016

PC.

1 The learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner on instructions of the Petitioner states that now the challenge in the Petition is confined to Annexure-II. We find from the Petition that the Petitioner has never made any grievance about any illegality associated with Annexure-II before the authority which is empowered to finalize Annexure-II. The Petitioner is seeking a writ of mandamus for quashing the Annexure-II. Before seeking a writ of mandamus, the Petitioner ought to have approached the concerned authority with his grievances.

The law is very well settled under the various decisions of the Apex Court. One such decision is in the case of Saraswati Industrial Syndicate Vs. Union of India¹.

2 Accordingly, at this stage, we decline to entertain this PIL. The PIL is disposed of. However, it will be open for the Petitioner to make appropriate representation to the appropriate authority pointing out the alleged illegality in the Annexure - II.

(P. D. NAIK, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)

1 1974(2) SCC 630