

JSN

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTE JURISDICTION
TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO. 58 OF 2016
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO. 1245 OF 2015

Dr. Mrs. Aruna Ravindra Bapat ...Plaintiff
Versus
Dr. Mr. Sudhir Srinivas Joshi ...Defendant

Mr. Naushad Engineer, *with Mr. K.G. Mhatre, Mr. Prateek Pai and Ms. Nikhita Vali i/b Mhatre and Associates for the Plaintiff.*
Mrs. Aruna Bapat, *with Dr. Uday Bpata and Dr. M. Bapat Plaintiffs present.*
Mr. Atul Daga, *with Mr. Ooril Panchal i/b Mahimtura and Company for the Caveator / Defendant Sudhir Joshi.*
Ms. Ranjeeta Joshi, *party to the Consent Terms also present.*

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 27th September 2016

PC:-

1. The Suit is settled. Consent Terms are tendered in the Suit. These are signed by the Plaintiff and the Defendant and their Advocates. Both parties are present in Court.

2. I have seen the Consent Terms. They are complete in all respect. They are not contrary to law. They appear to have been drawn by the parties of their own volition and they reflect the parties' true intentions.

3. The Consent Terms are taken on record and marked “X” for identification. The undertakings — by whatever named called and however worded — in the Consent Terms are accepted as undertakings to the Court. The Suit is disposed of in accordance with the Consent Terms.
4. Refund of Court Fees, if any, in accordance with the Rules.
5. Drawn up order / decree is dispensed with. However, should the parties require a drawn up decree for the purpose of implementation of these Consent Terms, that decree will be drawn up in accordance with these Consent Terms and the parties will be entitled to apply for such a drawn up decree without needing to make a separate application to Court for that purpose and on production of an authenticated copy of this order.
6. All concerned to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)