

authenticated by the learned Associate of this Court.

iv. Stand over to 22nd February, 2016.”

2. Accordingly, Mr. Rashmin Khandekar, Advocate has submitted his disclosure under Section 11 (8) read with Section 12 (1) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, which is taken on record.

3. Office has sought to forward a copy of the order dated 5th February, 2016 passed by this Court at the registered address of the Respondent Company shown in the records of the ROC as of date. However, the same is returned with the remark '*Company is not working at given address*', as can be seen from the Service Report dated 18th February, 2016 filed by the Section Officer, Board Department. Since the order was sought to be served at the registered address of the Company, which address on that day was shown as the Registered Office of the Company in the record of the Registrar of Companies, the said order is deemed to have been served on the Company, though the same has been returned with the remark '*Company is not working at given address*'. In view thereof, the following order is passed :

- i. The disputes of the Applicant and the Respondent arising out of Invoices bearing Nos. IN/10/CORPM/338 to IN/10/CORPM/434 from 24th February, 2011 to 11th March, 2011 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated 14th January, 2011 are referred to the sole Arbitration of Mr. Rashmin Khandekar, Advocate.
- ii. The parties shall appear before the learned Arbitrator in his chambers, on 18th March, 2016 at 5.30 p.m. and obtain necessary directions.
- iii. The cost of arbitration shall initially be borne by the parties equally.

- iv. All contentions of the parties are kept open.
- v. The venue of Arbitration shall be at Mumbai.
- 4. In view of this order, the above Arbitration Application is disposed of.

(S.J.KATHAWALLA, J.)