

JSN

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION
TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO.44 OF 2013
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO.1291 OF 2012**

Kishore Nandlal Chabria & Anr.	...Plaintiffs
<i>Versus</i>	
Nikita Mahesh Dharira & Disha Anand Raheja	...Defendants

Mr. Vijay Dhingreja, for the Plaintiffs.
Mr. A. Chaliha, i/b. Universal Legal for the Defendants Nos.1 and 2.

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 5th April 2016

PC:-

1. The Suit itself is settled. Consent Terms have been drawn up. These are tendered. They are signed by Plaintiffs Nos.1 and 2 and their Advocates and by Defendants Nos.1 and 2 and their Advocates.

2. I have seen Consent Terms. Being satisfied that these Consent Terms are not contrary to law, reflect the true intention of the parties, are fair and reasonable and have been signed and executed by the parties of their own volition without any sort of coercion and pressure, the Consent Terms are taken on record and

marked “X” for identification. The two Plaintiffs and the two Defendants are personally present in Court. Their presence is noted. The undertakings in the Consent Terms are accepted as undertakings to the Court.

3. The Testamentary Petition is disposed of in accordance with the Consent Terms. Probate is to be granted expeditiously and on priority basis on production of an authenticated copy of this order.
4. Drawn up decree is dispensed with.
5. All concerned to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)