

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO.73 OF 2011

The Commissioner of Central Excise,
Kolhapur Commissionerate Appellant
Vs.
M/s. FIE Spherotech Respondents

AND
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO.110 OF 2013

The Commissioner
Central Excise & Service Tax
Kolhapur Commissionerate Appellant
Vs.
Vishwasrao Naik SSK Ltd U-II Respondents

AND
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO.126 OF 2013

The Commissioner
Central Excise & Service Tax
Kolhapur Commissionerate Appellant
Vs.
Shree Tatyasaheb Kore Warana SSK Ltd.,
Warananagar Respondents

AND
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO.129 OF 2013

The Commissioner
Central Excise & Service Tax
Kolhapur Commissionerate Appellant
Vs.
Rajarambapu Patil Sahakari Sakhar
Karkhana Limited Respondents

AND
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NOS.180 AND 196 OF 2013

The Commissioner
Central Excise & Service Tax
Kolhapur Commissionerate Appellant
Vs.
Shri Gurudatta Sugars Limited,
Takaliwadi Respondents

AND
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO.28 OF 2015

The Commissioner
Central Excise & Service Tax
Kolhapur Commissionerate Appellant
Vs.
M/s. Vasantdada Shetkari Sahakari
Sakhar Karkhana Ltd. Respondents

AND
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO.129 OF 2015

The Commissioner of
Central Excise & Service Tax
Kolhapur Commissionerate Appellant
Vs.
M/s. S.B. Reshellers Pvt. Ltd. Respondent

Mr. Pradeep S. Jetly with Mr. Jitendra B. Mishra
for the Appellant in all Appeals.

None for the Respondents.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, JJ.

DATE : AUGUST 08, 2016

P.C:

1. Mr. Jetly, on instructions, states that the Revenue may be allowed to withdraw these appeals.

2. They are withdrawn in the light of the Circular issued by the Revenue which determines the limit of monetary sums or the sum above which alone the appeals of the Revenue are to be pressed. Every appeal involving a sum mentioned below this limit would not be pressed and on the Revenue's request the Court may dismiss it as withdrawn.

3. We have found that the Revenue is withdrawing the appeals though admitted on substantial questions of law. It is for the Revenue to decide and withdraw the appeals based on its Circular, but we clarify that we have expressed no opinion on the questions of law nor on the legality and validity of the

Circular.

4. By clarifying as above, all these appeals are allowed to be withdrawn and stand disposed of as such.

(DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)