

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2823 OF 2013

Chandrakanta N. Khandelwal	}	Petitioner
versus		
Registrar of FIRM and Ors.	}	Respondents

Mr. Shilpan Samdani i/b. Mr. G. S.
Manasawale for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
A. A. SAYED, JJ.

DATED :- MARCH 9, 2016

P.C. :-

1) Having heard the petitioner's advocate and perusing the order at page 19 of the paper book, we do not see any right, and particularly to seek dissolution of the firm and stated to be vesting in the petitioner, is adversely affected by the order at page 19 of the paper book.

2) The ongoing arbitration and its outcome cannot be said to be affected by such communication of the Registrar of Firms as pointed out at page 19 of the paper book. We have not been shown any provision in law which would adversely affect any right vested in the petitioner because of the levy of penalty. In these circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed, but by

clarifying that all rights and contentions of the petitioner and particularly in relation to any substantive right shall not be affected by this communication.

(A.A.SAYED, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)