

ATUL

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
SUIT (L) NO. 407 OF 2016

Dr. Ashok M. Bhat

...Plaintiff

Versus

J. G. Sons

...Defendant

Mr. Vinod Bhagat, *with Mr. Dhiren Karania i/b G.S. Hegde and V.A.Bhatat for the Plaintiff.*

Mr. Abhishek Pandurangi, *i/b Khurana and Khurana for the Defendant.*

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J

DATED: 12th August 2016

PC:-

1. The Suit is settled. Consent Terms are tendered. These are signed by the Plaintiffs and Defendant. The Defendant is unable to remain present but he has instructed his Advocate to attend on his behalf. The Advocates for both sides have also signed the Consent Terms.

2. Having seen the Consent Terms, I am satisfied that the Consent Terms are not contrary to law, that they reflect the parties' true intention and have been drawn by the parties of their own

volition. The Consent Terms are taken on record and marked “X” for identification.

3. The Suit is disposed of in accordance with the Consent Terms. Drawn up decree dispensed with.

4. Refund of court fee, if any, in accordance with the Rules.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)