

nsc.

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

***REVIEW PETITION NO.38 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2325 OF 2011
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.2325 OF 2011***

S.G.Shinde ...Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.M.S.Karnik a/w Mr.A.S.Pandire, for the Petitioner.

Ms.P.H.Kantharia, Government Pleader, for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Ms.N.R.Patankar, for Respondent Nos.3 and 4.

***CORAM : V.M.KANADE, ACTING C.J &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.***

DATE : 18th JANUARY, 2016

P.C. :

1. The parties have amicably settled the dispute. They have filed Consent Terms. The Consent Terms are signed by the petitioner, respondent nos.3 and 4 and their respective advocates. The petitioner is

present in the Court. The undertaking, if any, given by any of the parties in the Consent Terms is accepted.

2. In view of the settlement between the parties, the Review Petition is allowed. The impugned order is recalled. The Writ Petition is restored to file. Consent Terms are taken on record in the Writ Petition. The Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms.

3. Since, we have allowed the Review Petition, the question of disposing of the Review Petition in terms of the Consent Terms does not arise.

4. Since the Consent Terms are taken on record in the Writ Petition, the Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE