

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 1832 OF 2015**

Shri Ramakant Pandurang RanePetitioner
versus
The State of Maharashtra through its
Department of Town Planning Maharashtra State,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Manoj A. Patil i/b. Mr. Ashish P. Pawar, advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs. Harsha Shah, AGP for the State.
Mr. Bipin J. Joshi, advocate for respondent No.4.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 14th JUNE, 2016.

P. C. :

Having heard learned counsel appearing for the respective parties and having gone through the compilation of the petition, we are of the considered view that this petition can conveniently be disposed of by directing respondent No.3 -Deputy Commissioner/Competent Authority of Mumbai Municipal Corporation to decide the petitioner's representation dated 20th August, 2014, a copy of which is annexed at "Exhibit E", page 27 , as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order and take appropriate action after granting an opportunity of hearing to respondent Nos. 4 and 5. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

2. It is expressly made clear that we have not gone in to the merits of the matter and all rights and contentions of the respective parties are kept open. The learned Deputy Commissioner/competent authority shall decide the said representation independently on its own merits and in accordance with law.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]