

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1566 OF 2015

Varsha Anil Rajadhyaksha	}	Petitioner
versus		
State Bank of India and Ors.	}	Respondents

Mr. Girish Thanvi i/b. Meghnath Navlani
and Girish Thanvi for the Petitioner.

None for the Respondents.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
G. S. PATEL, JJ.**

DATED :- FEBRUARY 25, 2016

P.C. :-

1) The Petition is not dismissed for want of prosecution, as the Petitioner's advocate apologizes for his absence on the earlier occasion. Taken up for admission with his consent.

2) Having heard the Petitioner's advocate and finding that the only request appears to be that the Petitioner cannot be dispossessed, as her tenancy is allegedly protected by the Rent Control Act, then, for establishing that claim, this Court or Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is not the Forum or remedy. The Petitioner is aware that she will have to establish this claim in a competent Court/Tribunal. In the circumstances, the Writ Petition cannot be entertained. It is disposed of by reserving all

the remedies that the Petitioner desires to avail of for establishing the above claim.

(G.S.PATEL, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)